

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/936,510 | Applicant(s) FURUTANI, SENICHI | |
| | Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi | Art Unit 2133 | |

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Wendel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

drawing's label and the error in the certificate for the English language translation of the foreign priority document

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's attorney Mr. Wendel agreed to submit a new certificate for the English language translation of the foreign priority document as the application number for the foreign priority document in the certificate and the declaration document did not match. Mr. Wendel also agreed to submit new figures 1 and 7 with "Replacement Sheet" label in the top margin. .